

NITED STATES BIFARTMENT OF COMMERCE sided States Patent and Traderately Office deen COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1459 Alexandria, Vegma 23313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	PIRST NAMEO INVENTOR	ATTORNEY OCCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/085,526	02/26/2002	Helmut Heide	930008-2066	1405
20999 75	90 06/02/2004		EXAM	INER
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			GROUP, KARL E	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŕ	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/085,526	HEIDE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Karl E Group	1755		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extension of MOUNT of the Third COMMUNICATION Extension of MOUNT of the the modify date of this communication. If the period for traply specified above is less than thirty (30) eyes, a f 140 period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eyes, a f 140 period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eyes, a f 140 period for reply specified above Among the communication of	1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of dwill apply and will expres SIX (6) MONTHS for the name the confinction to broome ABANDOM	imely filed sys will be considered timely, in the mating date of this communication. IED (15) U.S. C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ Ti	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
 Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 				
 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) △ All b) △ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applica	ation No		
 Copies of the certified copies of the p 	riority documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage		

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

11 🔯	Notice of F

References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) M information Otoclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12:20:02:9-26:03 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/085,526

Information Disclosure Statement

 The documents crossed off the PTO-1449 have not been considered because an English language translation has not been provided.

Claim Observations

- The limitations following the terminology "especially" and 'preferably' are not considered to further limit the daims. If applicants intend to have these limitations considered it is suggested to rewrite ant limitations as dependent claims.
- It is requested to remove all parenthesis from the claims such as claim 1, line 1.
 Having two names for one material clearly leads to confusion in the claims as well as
 any limitation within the parentheses does not necessarily further limit the claims.
- It should also be noted the terminology "which can be" does not require the claim to be further limited.
- 5. Claim 12, "wherein the tubular pores have" is suggested.
- Claim 14 "having an overall" is suggested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 8. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
- indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, "the residue", "the baked blanks", "the thermodynamically stable adjacent phases", "the presynthesis product", "the powdered presynthesis product Application/Control Number: 10/085,526 Art Unit: 1755

together with PHOSPHATE POWDER*, "phosphate powder according to step (a)" lack antecertent hasis

Claim 2 the recited limitations lack antecedent basis.

Claim 6. "the sintered structure" and "the matrix" lack antecedent basis.

Claim 7 it is not clear what is intended by "wherein a microporosity".

Claim 10. "the block surface" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 13, "the formation material in block form" lacks antecedent basis,

Claim 15 is incomplete.

Claim 16, "the block form" lacks antecedent basis. Also it cannot be seen how a block may be a cuboid, taper, cone or disk. It also is not clear what is encompassed by cuboid.

Claim 18 "the practitioner" lacks antecedent basis.

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for paters, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the fulled States before the invention by the applicant for paters (c) a patient granted on an application for paters by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patient, except that an inventional application filled under the test by defined in section applicant for patient, except that an inventional application filled under the test by defined in section and the patient of the section of the se

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/085,526 Art Unit: 1755

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 10.2 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at nex subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability, shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-5,7-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Avers et al (20040019385).

In view of the lack of antecedent basis of limitations and the terminology "can be" the instant claims are considered to be anticipated over Ayers et al who teach a beta tricalcium phosphate implant with porosity, see page 2, paragraphs 20 and 32. The claims are considered anticipated.

- Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP \$ 201.15. Also this application was filed as a CIP.
- Claims 1-5,16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese document 1-108143.

The Japanese document teaches a sintered beta-tricalcium phosphate used as an implant material (see abstract). The terminology "can be" does not require the formation of any porosity in the formation material and therefor the claims are considered anticipated.

 Claims 1-5,16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jarcho et al. (4.195.366).

Jarcho et al teach a sintered beta tricalcium phosphate with a porosity. The terminology "can be" does not require the formation of any porosity in the formation material and therefor the claims are considered anticipated. Application/Control Number: 10/085,526 Art Unit: 1755

Jarcho et al teach a porosity of 50-300 microns.

15. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record fails to teach a porosity of 2-15 microns.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

Keg 5-28-04 Karl E Group Primary Examine Art Unit 1755